



# Development and Validation of RP-HPLC method for Simultaneous estimation of combined drug in Pharmaceutical formulation

J.VIJAY

#### Abstract

The design, development, standardization, and quality control of medical goods are unattainable without analytical methods. Although just a first effort was undertaken in this study, validated analytical techniques for the determination of a single or mixed dose form have been developed. Methods for the quantitative and qualitative analysis of nebivolol HCl and telmisartan were validated in accordance with ICH guidelines, and a simple, rapid, sensitive, stable, and highly effective RP-HPLC method for their determination was developed. This article includes the results of validation experiments on the solubility, wavelength, optimization of chromatographic conditions, linearity, and system suitability of the proposed technique for quantification of both medications. The chosen analytes were successfully quantified from the tablet formulation using the method.Since this procedure does not cause any problems due to chemicals, etc., it would be useful to apply it to the development of other medications.

Nebivolol HCL, Telmisartan, and RP-HPLC Validation are some key phrases

#### introduction

The research, creation, standardization, and quality control of pharmaceuticals and medical equipment all depend on analytical methods. Although just a first effort was undertaken in this study, validated analytical techniques for the determination of a single or mixed dose form have been developed. Calculating the amount of degradation products produced during formulation and storage of a product utilizing methods like asThe diffusion process governs the phase. Diffusion minimization allows for a more rapid and efficient separation to take place. Some examples of such characteristics include solubility,

maximum shift, absorbance overlap, etc. But today, thanks to a plethora of high-tech analytical tools, we can overcome these obstacles. (2,4)UV-Visible Spectrophotometer, HPLC, HPTLCalso UPLC (points 1-3). Gradient elution may be achieved by progressively adjusting the solvent content. Rate of dispersal between fixed and mobileThe various methods of analysis can be broadly classified into two categories; Classical methods and Instrumental methods,

St. Theresa's women college , Department of Biological Science A.P

chromatography (HPLC) and High performance thin layer chromatography (HPTLC) are the most widely used techniques (2,4,5). Chromatography may be defined as a method of separating a mixture of components into individual components through equilibrium distribution between two phases that is mobile phase and stationary phase(6). HPLC is the method of choice for checking peak purity of new chemical entities, monitoring reaction changes is in synthetic procedures or scale up, evaluating new formulations and carrying out quality control / assurance of the final drug products(7). The essential parts of the High Performance Liquid Chromatography are, Solvent reservoir and Treatment system, Mobile phase, Pump system, Sample Injection System, Column, Detector, Recording and interpretation unit. The most widely used detector in HPLC is the UV- absorption or spectrophotometer. In this detector the changes in UVabsorption when the solution passes through a flow cell is measured. UV detectors are concentration sensitive and have the advantage that they don't destroy the solute. UV detection can utilizes the fixed emission line of a mercury



UV-Visible spectrophotometry, High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and High performance thin layer chromatography (HPTLC) are the most widely used techniques (2,4,5). Chromatography may be defined as a method of separating a mixture of components into individual components through equilibrium distribution between two phases that is mobile phase and stationary phase(6). HPLC is the method of choice for checking peak purity of new chemical entities, monitoring reaction changes is in synthetic procedures or scale up, evaluating

Validation of an analytical method is the "A documented programme, which provides a high degree of assurance that a specific process will consistently produce, a product meeting its pre- determined specifications and quality attributes" (4,5).The following are typical analytical performance characteristics which may be tested during methods validation, Accuracy, Precision, Repeatability, Intermediate precision, Linearity,

Specificity, Range, Robustness System suitability determination (2,3,4,6).

#### fig. 1: Instrumentation of HPLC

#### Material and Methods

Nebivolol HCL, Telmisartan from Lupin Research park, Methanol (HPLC grade), Distilled Water (HPLCgrade), Ortho Phosphoric Acid (HPLCgrade) from Merck Ltd.India,UV-Visible Spectrophotometer model 2080, HPLC Agilent 1100, pH MeterSystonic Sy-614A, Balance CY

104 (Micro Analytical Balance), Ultrasonicator Metalab1.5 L 50 were used.

Optimization of chromatographic condition The mobile phase was prepared by methanol & 0.1% OPA acid having pH-7 (80:20% v/v). To take 400ml of methanol and 100ml of 0.1% OPA acid having pH-7. Figure 3,4,5. To take10mg of Nebivolol HCL and 80mg Telmisartan dissolved in 10ml methanol. Add 1 drops of tri-ethylamine

and Sonicated for 10mins. And take 0.2ml from stock solution and dissolved in 10ml mobile phase. This solution holds 20ppm. Of Nebivolol HCL & 80ppm Telmisartan. Table 1,2,3,4. (13,14) Linearity

Accurately weighed Nebivolol HCL 5mg and Telmisartan 40mg dissolved in 10 ml Methanol. (15,16) And add 1drop tri-ethylamine. And sonicate for 10min. This solution holds 500 $\mu$ g/ml of Nebivolol HCL and 4000 $\mu$ g/ml of Telmisartan. Take 0.1ml from above solution dissolved in 10ml mobile phase. For linearity study to take 10 $\mu$ g/ml, 20 $\mu$ g/ml, 30 $\mu$ g/ml,40 $\mu$ g/ml& 50 $\mu$ g/ml sample are prepared. And inject to record the chromatogram of linearity. (17,18,19,20)

#### Accuracy

Recovery studies were performed to validate the accuracy



f developed method. To pre analysed tablet solution, a efinite concentration of standard drug (80%, 100%, and 120%) was added and then its recovery was analysed. Statistical validation of recovery studies shown in Table no.7SpecificitySpecificity was measured as ability of the proposed method to obtained well separated peaks for Nebivolol HCL and Telmisartan without any interference from component of matrix. Shown in Table no. 12,13, Figure 7,8. (23,24,25) Robustness

The Robustness of a method is its ability to remain unaffected by small deliberate changes in parameters. (26) To evaluate the robustness of the proposed method, small but deliberate variations in the optimized method parameters were done. The effect of changes in mobile phase composition and flow rate on retention time and tailing factor of drug peak was studied. The mobile phase composition was changed in  $\pm 1$  ml proportion and the flow rate was varied by  $\pm$  0.1 ml min-1, of optimized chromatographic condition. (27,28) The results of robustness studies are shown in Table No.14 &15. System suitability parameters were also found satisfactory; hence the analytical method would be concluded.Results and DiscussionSelection of wavelengthThis solution is scan by Uv-visible spectrophotometer under a scanning wavelength 200nm-400nm. The wavelength of Nebivolol HCL & Telmisartan was found to be 286nm. And hence this wavelength is used for method development purpose. As show in Fig.2

![](_page_3_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Fig. 2: UV-visible spectra. of Nebivolol HCL & Telmisartan

#### Selection of mobile phase

![](_page_4_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Fig. 3: chromatogram of Trail-1 Table 1: Detail of chromatogram of Trail-1

Sr.No	Drug	Rt time	Area	Plates	Symmetry	
	Fig.4	: Chrom	atogram o	of Trail-2	Table 2: Det	ail of chromatogram of Trail-2

![](_page_4_Figure_7.jpeg)

Fig. 5: Chromatogram of Trail-3 Table 3: Detail of chromatogram of Trail-3

Sr.No	Drug	Rt time	Area	Plates	Symmetry
1.	TEL	3.004	4418.68	4812	0.99
2.	NEB	5.469	361.86	6460	0.80

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Fig. 5: Chromatogram of Trail-3 Table 3: Detail of chromatogram of Trail-3

Sr.No.	Drug	Rt time	Area	Plates	Symmetry	
1.	TEL	3.004	4418.68	4812	0.99	
2.	NEB	5.469	361.86	6460	0.80	

Trial	Column used	Mobilephase, Flow Rate and Wavelength	Inj. Vol.	Observation	Conclusion
1	C <sub>18</sub> (AGILENT) (250×4.6m)	Methanol & 0.1% OPA acid_(90:10% v/v). Flow Rate=0.7ML, Wavelength- 286nm(pH-3)	20 µl	Well resolved peaks were not obtained	Hence rejected
2	C <sub>18</sub> (AGILENT) (250 ×4.6mm)	80% Methanol: 20% Water (0.1 % OPA) Flow rate 0.7 ml. Wavelength- 286nm(pH-3)	20 µl	Well resolved peaks were not obtained	Hence rejected
3	C <sub>18</sub> (AGILENT) (250 ×4.6mm)	80% Methanol: 20% Water (0.1 % OPA) Flow rate 0.7 ml. Wavelength-286NM (pH-7)	20 µl	Well resolved peaks were obtained	Hence selected

#### Table No 4: Showing result of Experimental Trials

#### Linearity study

Linearity of Nebivolol was observed in the range of 10-50 µg/ml and Telmisartan was observed in

the range of 10-50 $\mu$ g/ml Detection of wavelength used was 286 nm. The calibration curve yielded correlation coefficient (r<sup>2</sup>)\_0.9999 &

0.9996 for Nebivolol and Telmisartan respectively.

As per Table no.5 and Figure no. 6.

Table 5:	Result	of	standard	calibration	curve	for	Nebivolol H	ICL

Sr No.	Conc	Area I	Area II	Mean	SD	%RSD
1	40	79.71	80.23	79.97	0.37	0.46

![](_page_5_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

2	80	162.3	161.21	161.76	0.77	0.48
3	120	245.13	248.61	246.87	2.46	1.00
4	160	325.89	328.86	327.38	2.10	0.64
5	200	407.99	406.93	407.46	0.75	0.18
		R <sup>2</sup> =0.9999	M=16.40	C=1.284	Avg=1.29	Avg=0.55

#### Fig. 6: Standard Calibration Curves for Nebivolol HCLSystem suitability test

System suitability was performed to verify, whether the resolution and reproducibility of the chromatographic system areadequate. Table 6: Result of system suitability for Nebivolol HCL and Telmisartan

Sr. No.	Peak area		Rete	Retention		Asymmetry		ical plates
			Time					
	NEB	TEL	NEB	TEL	NEB	TEL	NEB	TEL
1	244.21	6280.86	5.69	3.04	0.75	0.91	7135	5587
2	243.32	6295.31	5.67	3.03	0.46	0.91	7090	5425
3	244.10	6270.15	5.68	3.05	0.55	0.92	7145	5547
4	242.15	6285.25	5.66	3.06	0.67	0.94	7065	5326

5	245.17	6291.26	5.70	3.07	0.71	0.93	7180	5598
Mean	243.79	6284.56	5.68	3.05	0.62	0.92	7123	5497
S. D	1.12	9.77	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	45.63	117.50
C.V	0.45	0.15	0.17	0.32	1.61	1.08	0.64	2.13

#### Accuracy

Accuracy of method is ascertained by recovery studies performed at different levels of

concentrations (80%, 100% and 120%). The % recovery was found to be within 99.52-100.99 %. As per table no.7

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

**Recovery Studies.** 

#### Table 7: Statistical Validation of

Level of Recovery (%)	Drug	Mean % Recovery	Standard Deviation	% RSD
	Nebivolol HCL	100.54	0.65	0.44
80	Telmisartan	99.70	6.33	0.17
	Nebivolol HCL	100.99	0.58	0.35
100	Telmisartan	99.52	7.06	0.17
	Nebivolol HCL	100.1	0.49	0.27
120	Telmisartan	99.54	0.96	0.23

#### Precision

Precision studies were carried out using parameter like intra-day and inter-dayprecision, the study showed that the results were within acceptancelimit. i.e.%RSD below 2.0 indicating reproducibility of method. Results as shown in following table no.8,9,10,11.

#### **Result of Intraday Telmisartan**

Sr No.	Conc	Area-I	Area-II	Mean	Amt Found	% Amt Found	SD	%RSD
1	80	4165.59	4168.69	4167.14	79.30	99.13	0.96	0.02
2	120	6290.41	6292.61	6291.51	120.79	100.65	1.56	0.02
3	160	8391.47	8386.03	8388.75	161.74	101.09	3.85	0.04

#### Table 8: Intra-day Precision study Telmisartan

**Result of Intraday Nebivolol HCL** 

Table 9: Intra-day Precision study Nebivolol HCL

Sr No.	Conc.	Area-I	Area-II	Mean	Amt Found	% Amt Found	SD	%RSD
1	10	161.55	168.69	165.12	10.14	101.46	0.96	0.58
2	15	239.03	234.09	236.56	14.82	98.85	3.49	1.47
3	20	328.8	322.19	325.50	19.92	99.60	4.67	1.43

#### Interday

Telmisartan

Table 10: Interday Telmisartan study

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

Sr No.	Conc.	Area-I	Area-II	Mean	Amt Found	% Amt Found	SD	%RSD
1	80	4166.32	4168.87	4167.60	79.31	99.14	0.96	0.02
2	120	6287.74	6291.11	6289.43	120.75	100.62	2.38	0.03
/3	160	8389.15	8392.55	8390.78	161.78	101.11	2.51	0.02

Interday Nebivolol

HCL

Table 11: Interday Nebivolol HCL study

Sr No.	Conc.	Area-I	Area-II	Mean	Amt Found	% Amt Found	SD	%RSD
1	10	161.23	165.78	163.51	10.04	100.40	0.96	0.58
2	15	241.52	242.91	242.22	14.84	98.93	0.98	0.40
3	20	330.09	326.5	328.30	20.09	100.45	2.54	0.77

#### Specificity

API and the Tablet sample solution prepared as per the proposed method to check for the interference if any peak at the retention time of Nebivolol and Telmisartan. Thus, no interference was found at the Retention time of Nebivolol and Telmisartanwhich is 3.00 & 5.46min respectively, Shown in Figure no. 7 and 8, Table no.12,13.

![](_page_8_Figure_9.jpeg)

Fig. 7: A chromatogram of API

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

	monified After loading	
	WID 1 A, Wavelength=281 nm (31 1220199/T000054 D)	
mAU	5	
1200-		
1000		
800		
600		
400		9,010
200-		a construction
0-		
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*****
ġ	2 3	

#### Fig. 8: A chromatogram of Tablets

### Table 12: Detail of chromatogram of API

Sr.No	Drug	Rt time	Area	Plates	Symmetry
1.	TEL	3.062	8395.49	5247	0.96
2.	NEB	5.737	325.86	7255	0.75

#### Table 13: Detail of chromatogram of Tablets

Sr.No	Drug	Rt time	Area	Plates	Symmetry
1.	TEL	3.036	8380.08	5287	0.90
2.	NEB	5.677	326.68	7250	0.53

#### Robustness

To evaluate the robustness of the method, the parameters selected were varied at three levels.

The results indicate that less variability in retention time and tailing factor were observed in following table no. 14,15.

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

Parameters <sup>4</sup> . Jeffery	Conc.	MEAN	SD	%RSD
	(µg/ml)			
Mobile phase composition-(81+19)	40	8122.17	15.98	0.20
Mobile phase composition-(79+21)	40	8372.90	24.72	0.73
Wavelength change285nm	40	8111.70	21.96	0.27
Wavelength Change 287nm	40	8044.71	70.59	0.88
Flow rate change(0.9ml)	40	9134.71	17.41	0.19
Flow rate change(1.1ml)	40	7531.67	111.45	1.48

#### Table 15: Result of Robustness Study of Telmisartan

The analysis of tablet formulation was done and the results obtained within the limits. The results obtained for validation study were within the limit specified by the ICH guidelines and hence the method was found to be linear, precise. The results of recovery study were within ICH limits, thus indicating the accuracy of method. The present work involved the development of accurate, precise, and simple suitable RP-HPLC method for estimation of the drugs in multicomponent tablet formulation. Method successfully quantified the selected from tablet formulation. analytes No interference of additives etc.is encountered in this method further studies on other pharmaceuticals formulation would throw more light on those study.

#### Conclusion

All of the method validation parameters were fulfilled, demonstrating the technique's overall success. For the purpose of routine determination of Telmisartan and Nebivolol HCL, this approach is suitable for implementation. References

#### REFERANCE

- 1. A A.H. et al.(2002). Practical pharmaceutical chemistry, London. A&C black publication:163-164.
- 2. Rouessac F. and Rouessac A. (1998). Chemical analysis Modern Instrumental method and technique, France. John Wiley & Sons Itd: 63-68.

Skoog D.A. and West D.M.(1996). Principles of instrumental analysis,

U.S.A. Saunders college publication: 2-3.H., Basset J., Mendham J. and Denney

R.C. (1989).Vogels textbook of quantitative chemical analysis ,Londan. Longman Group UK ltd:3.

Rashmin., AnIntroduction to analytical method development for pharmaceutical formulation, at

http://www.pharmainfo.net.

Panchumarthy R.,Naga Navya., Pravallika1 D.,NavyaD. andSriA. (2015). Review on Step-by-Step AnalyticalMethod Validation, IOSR Journal Of Pharmacy, 5(10): 07-19.

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

## International Journal of

#### Volume4 Issue1, Jan 2016

Life Sciences Biotechnology Pharma Sciences

Gurdeep R., Chatwal., Sham K. and Anand.(2005).Instrumental methods of chemical analysis, 5<sup>th</sup> edition, Mumbai,Delhi, Bangalore, Nagpur, Hyderabad: Himalaya Publishing House: 2.566-8, 2.626-8.

Burgess C.(2001). Validation of Analytical Methods & Procedures, 1<sup>st</sup> edition, Royal Society of Chemistry, UK: 4-41.

Synder L., Kirland R., GlajchJ. (1997). Introduction to Modern Liquid Chromatography, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Wiley Inter science, New York: 5-42. Cazes, Scott R.,MarcelD. (2002). Chromatography Theory, 10<sup>th</sup> edition, Inc., New York: 4-45.

Braithwaite Smith,.(1999). Chromatography Methods, 5<sup>th</sup> edition, Kluwer Academi Publishers, USA:2-43.

Nidhal M., Sher M., Hasan A. and Hassan M. (2019). Reported method development and validation of

simultaneousdetermination of hydrochlorothiazide and losartan in tablet